WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.8	4)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	
CR F	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no additional information required.	X		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		teria di Mariel del Carallet del Assistante de Carallet de Carallet de Carallet de Carallet de Carallet de Car
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	3	۲.	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	<del>lamiyohasininglillikiiis sid</del>	$\lambda$	Water the state of
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.	opposes para la	م	And the state of t
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	<del>er territoria. Per esta periode de como en el del como influente de la como influencia de la como influencia de</del>
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X.	